arXiv:1411.0603v1 [math.CV] 3 Nov 2014

A boundary Schwarz lemma for holomorphic mappings from the polydisc to the unit ball

Yang Liu[a,c,1], Zhihua Chen[b,2], Yifei Pan[c,d,2]

[a]*Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, China*
[b]*Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092, China*
[c]*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne, Fort Wayne, IN 46805-1499, USA*
[d]*School of Mathematics and Informatics, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang 330022, China*

Abstract

In this paper, we prove a general Schwarz lemma at the boundary for holomorphic mappings from the polydisc to the unit ball in any dimensions. For the special case of one complex variable, the obtained results give the classic boundary Schwarz lemma.

Keywords: Boundary Schwarz lemma, holomorphic mapping, polydisc, unit ball
2000 MSC: 32H02, 30C80

1. Introduction

The Schwarz lemma is known as one of the most important results in complex analysis. The Schwarz-Pick lemma is a variant of the Schwarz lemma, which essentially states that a holomorphic self-map of the unit disk decreases the distance of points in the Poincaré metric. It has been generalized to the derivatives of arbitrary order in one complex variable [1, 2]. Concerning several complex variables, Rudin [3] gave a first derivative estimate for the bounded holomorphic functions on the polydisc, which is really a precursor to Schwarz-Pick estimate in high dimensions. [4] studied the extremal

[1]Email: liuyang@zjnu.edu.cn
[2]Email: zzzhhc@tongji.edu.cn
[3]Email: pan@ipfw.edu

problem for the holomorphic functions from the polydisk to the disk. later, [5, 6, 7, 8] generalized the result of [1, 2] to the holomorphic mappings on the unit ball and polydisc in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Schwarz lemma at the boundary is another active topic in complex analysis [9], which was applied to geometric function theory of one complex variable and several complex variables [10, 11]. The following result is the classic boundary version of Schwarz lemma in one complex variable.

Theorem 1.1 ([9]). *Let D be the unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$, and let f be the self-holomorphic mapping of D. If f is holomorphic at $z = 1$ with $f(0) = 0$ and $f(1) = 1$, then $f'(1) \geq 1$.*

In [12], Burns and Krantz gave a new Schwarz lemma at the boundary and obtained rigidity result for holomorphic mappings. In [13], the Burns-Krantz type theorem was extended to mappings defined only on one side as germs at a boundary point and not necessarily sending any domain to itself. There are also some other multidimensional generalization of the Schwarz lemma at the boundary, see [14, 11].

It is a well-known result that there are no biholomorphic mappings between D^n and B^n. Therefore, holomorphic mappings between D^n and B^n are interesting in several complex variables. There have been many results proved about such mappings since the 1970s, see [15, 16, 17, 8]. Before giving the proof of the boundary version of Schwarz lemma for holomorphic mappings between D^n and B^N, we give some notations and definitions first.

For any $z = (z_1, ..., z_n)^T,\ w = (w_1, ..., w_n)^T \in \mathbb{C}^n$, the inner product and the corresponding norm are given by $\langle z,\ w\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j\overline{w_j},\ ||z|| = \langle z, z\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In addition, denote $||z||_\infty = \max_{1\leq j\leq n}|z_j|$. Let $D^n \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be the polydisc in the n-dimensional complex space, which is described by $D^n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n | ||z||_\infty < 1\}$. $\partial D^n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n | ||z||_\infty = 1\}$ denotes the topological boundary of D^n, and the distinguished boundary of D^n is given by $T^n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n | |z_j| = 1,\ 1 \leq j \leq n\}$, see [3]. Denote $H(D^n, B^N)$ by the set of all holomorphic mappings from D^n to B^N. For any $f \in H(D^n, B^N)$, we denote it by $f = (f_1, ..., f_N)^T$ and the Jacobian matrix of f at $z \in D^n$ is given by

$$J_f(z) = \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_j}\right)_{N\times n}.$$

For a bounded domain $V \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $C^\alpha(V)$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$ is the set of all functions

f on V for which

$$\sup\left\{\frac{|f(z)-f(z')|}{|z-z'|^{\alpha}}\Big| z,z'\in \bar{V}\right\}$$

is finite. $C^{k+\alpha}(V)$ is the set of all functions f on V whose k^{th} order partial derivatives exist and belong to $C^{\alpha}(V)$ for an integer $k\geq 0$.

Remark 1.2. *From the definition of the norm on D^n, the boundary points could be classified into several kinds. Let $z_0=(z_1,...,z_n)^T\in\partial D^n$. If there are only r components of z_0 whose norm equals to 1, then we denote the set of all this kind of boundary points by E_r, $1\leq r\leq n$. It is easy to see that there are n different E_r for ∂D^n, i.e.,*

$$\bigcup_{1\leq r\leq n} E_r=\partial D^n.$$

Especially, $E_n=T^n$ which is the distinguished boundary of D^n.

A natural question for Schwarz lemma problem and rigidity problem at the boundary was asked by Krantz in [10] for non-equidimensional mappings. In this paper, we study the mapping $f\in H(D^n,B^N)$ for any $n,N\geq 1$. Our main results are listed as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $f\in H(D^n,B^N)$ for any $n,N\geq 1$. Given $z_0\in\partial D^n$. Assume $z_0\in E_r$ with the first r components at the boundary of D for some $1\leq r\leq n$. If f is $C^{1+\alpha}$ at z_0 and $f(z_0)=w_0\in\partial B^N$, then there exist a sequence of nonnegative real numbers $\gamma_1,...,\gamma_r$ satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^{r}\gamma_j\geq 1$ and $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\overline{J_f(z_0)}^T w_0=\lambda\text{diag}(\gamma_1,...,\gamma_r,0,...,0)z_0, \tag{1}$$

where $\lambda=\frac{|1-\bar{a}^T w_0|^2}{1-||a||^2}>0$, $a=f(0)$ and diag *represents the diagonal matrix.*

Remark 1.4. *Especially, when $r=n$, $z_0\in T^n$. Denote e_i^n by the i-th column of identity matrix I_n with degree n. If $z_0\in E_r$ is given by other expression such as $z_0=\sum_{j=1}^{r}e_{l_j}^n$ where l_j are different to each other and $i_j\in\{1,...,n\}$ for $1\leq j\leq r$. Then from the proof of the theorem,* $\text{diag}(\gamma_1,...,\gamma_r,0,...,0)$ *should be replaced by the diagonal matrix M with the i_j-th low and i_j-th column element $M(i_j,i_j)=\gamma_{i_j}$ $(j=1,...,r)$, otherwise being 0.*

For $r=1$, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.5. *Let $f \in H(D^n, B^N)$ for any $n \geq 1$. Given $z_0 \in E_1 \subset \partial D^n$. If f is $C^{1+\alpha}$ at z_0 and $f(z_0) = w_0 \in \partial B^N$, then there exists a real number $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\overline{J_f(z_0)}^T w_0 = \lambda z_0, \tag{2}$$

where $\lambda \geq \frac{|1-\bar{a}^T w_0|^2}{1-||a||^2} > 0$ and $a = f(0)$.

For $N = 1$, the following result is obtained.

Corollary 1.6. *Let $f \in H(D^n, D)$ for any $n \geq 1$. Given $z_0 \in \partial D^n$. Assume $z_0 \in E_r$ with the first r components in the boundary of D for some $1 \leq r \leq n$. If f is $C^{1+\alpha}$ at z_0 and $f(z_0) = e^{i\theta} \in \partial D$ for $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$. Then there exist a sequence of nonnegative real numbers $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_r$ satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^r \gamma_j \geq 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\overline{J_f(z_0)}^T e^{i\theta} = \lambda \mathrm{diag}(\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_r, 0, ..., 0) z_0, \tag{3}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{|1-\bar{a}e^{i\theta}|^2}{1-|a|^2} > 0$ and $a = f(0)$.

Remark 1.7. *This theorem is a general Schwarz lemma at the boundary for holomorphic mappings from the polydisc to the unit ball in any dimensions. For $n = N = 1$, Corollary 1.5 gives Theorem 1.1 in [9]. The smooth condition of f is $C^{1+\alpha}$ at z_0 here.*

The Kobayashi distances for the polydisc in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is given as follows [18].

$$K_{D^n}(z, w) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + ||\varphi_z(w)||_\infty}{1 - ||\varphi_z(w)||_\infty}, \ z, w \in D^n \tag{4}$$

where $\varphi_z(w)$ is the automorphism of D^n given by

$$\varphi_z(w) = \left(\frac{w_1 - z_1}{1 - \bar{z}_1 w_1}, ..., \frac{w_n - z_n}{1 - \bar{z}_n w_n} \right)^T.$$

Meanwhile, the Kobayashi distances for the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^N$ could be expressed by

$$K_{B^N}(z, w) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + ||\phi_z(w)||}{1 - ||\phi_z(w)||}, \ z, w \in B^N \tag{5}$$

where $\phi_z(w)$ is the automorphism of B^N, and

$$\phi_z(w) = \frac{z - P_z(w) - s_z Q_z(w)}{1 - \langle w, z\rangle},\ z, w \in B^N$$

with P_z being the orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{C}^n$ by

$$P_z(w) = \frac{\langle w, z\rangle}{||z||^2} z,\ \text{if } z \neq 0,$$

and $Q_z = I - P_z$, as well as $s_z = \sqrt{1 - ||z||^2}$, see [19]. It is found that $\phi_z(0) = z,\ \phi_z(z) = 0$ and $\phi_z = \phi_z^{-1}$.

It is the fact that the Kobayashi distance non-increases under holomorphic mappings [20]. Consider the mapping $f \in H(D^n, B^N)$ and $f(0) = 0$, then from (4) and (5) $K_{B^N}(f(0), f(w)) \leq K_{D^n}(0, w)$, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1 + ||f(w)||}{1 - ||f(w)||} \leq \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1 + ||w||_\infty}{1 - ||w||_\infty}.$$

Since $t \to \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1+t}{1-t}$ is a increasing function for $t \in [0, 1)$, we have $||f(w)|| \leq ||w||_\infty$. Therefore, the following lemma is obtained which would play an important role in the proof of main results.

Lemma 1.8. *If $f \in H(D^n, B^N)$ for any $n, N \geq 1$. If $f(0) = 0$, then $||f(w)|| \leq ||w||_\infty,\ w \in D^n$.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Proof. We will prove the theorem in the following five steps.

Step 1. Let $z_0 \in E_r \subset \partial D^n$. Without loss of generality, we assume $z_0 = \sum_{i=1}^r e_i^n$. f is $C^{1+\alpha}$ in a neighborhood V of z_0. Moreover, we assume $f(0) = 0$ and $f(z_0) = w_0 = e_1^N \in \partial B^N$.

Let $p = z_0,\ q_j = -\sum_{i=1}^r e_i^n + ike_j^n$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$. Then $p + tq_j = (1 - t)z_0 + ikte_j^n$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. $||p + tq_j||_\infty < 1 \Leftrightarrow |1 - t + ikt| < 1$ and $|1 - t| < 1 \Leftrightarrow 0 < t < \frac{2}{1+k^2}$, which means that for a given $k \in \mathbb{R}$ when $t \to 0^+,\ p + tq_j \in D^n \cap V$. For such t, taking the Taylor expansion of $f(p + tq_j)$ at $t = 0$, we have

$$f(p + tq_j) = w_0 + J_f(z_0)q_j t + O(t^{1+\alpha}).$$

By Lemma 1.8,

$$||f\left(p+tq_j\right)||^2 = ||w_0 + J_f(z_0)q_j t + O(t^{1+\alpha})||^2 \leq ||p+tq_j||_\infty^2,$$

i.e.,

$$1 + 2\mathrm{Re}\left(\overline{w_0}^T J_f(z_0)q_j t\right) + O(t^{1+\alpha}) \leq 1 - 2t + O(t^2).$$

Substitute $w_0 = e_1^N$ and let $t \to 0^+$, we have

$$\mathrm{Re}\left(\overline{e_1^N}^T J_f(z_0)(-\sum_{i=1}^{r} e_i^n + ike_j^n)\right) \leq -1,$$

i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Re}\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_i} + ik\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j}\right) \leq -1.$$

Let $\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j} = \mathrm{Re}\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j} + i\mathrm{Im}\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j}$, then from the above inequality, one gets

$$-\mathrm{Re}\sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_i} - k\mathrm{Im}\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j} \leq -1,$$

i.e.,

$$-k\mathrm{Im}\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j} \leq \mathrm{Re}\sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_i} - 1. \tag{6}$$

Since (6) is valid for any $k \in \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$\mathrm{Im}\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j} = 0,\ 1 \leq j \leq r,$$

which gives

$$\mathrm{Re}\sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_i} \geq 1, \tag{7}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j} = \mathrm{Re}\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j},\ 1 \leq j \leq r. \tag{8}$$

Step 2. Let $p = z_0$, $q_j = -\sum_{i=1}^{r} e_i^n + ke_j^n$ for $1 \le j \le r$ and $k \le 0$. Then $p + tq_j = (1-t)z_0 + kte_j^n$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. $||p + tq_j||_\infty < 1 \Leftrightarrow |1 - t + kt| < 1$ and $|1 - t| < 1 \Leftrightarrow 0 < t < \frac{2}{1-k}$, which means that for any given $k \le 0$, there are $t \to 0^+$ such that $p + tq_j \in D^n \cap V$. Taking the Taylor expansion of $f(p + tq_j)$ at $t = 0$, we have

$$||f(p + tq_j)||^2 = ||w_0 + J_f(z_0)q_j t + O(t^{1+\alpha})||^2 \le ||p + tq_j||_\infty^2,$$

i.e.,

$$1 + 2\mathrm{Re}\left(\overline{w_0}^T J_f(z_0)q_j t\right) + O(t^{1+\alpha}) \le 1 - 2t + t^2.$$

Substitute $w_0 = e_1^N$ and let $t \to 0^+$, we have

$$\mathrm{Re}\left(\overline{e_1^N}^T J_f(z_0)(-\sum_{i=1}^{r} e_i^n + ke_j^n)\right) \le -1,$$

i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Re}\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_i} + k\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j}\right) \le -1.$$

From (8), one gets

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_i} + k\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j} \le -1,$$

which equals to

$$k\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j} \le \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_i} - 1.$$

The right side of the above inequality is nonnegative from (7) and (8). Since $k \le 0$ is arbitrary, it is obtained that

$$\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j} \ge 0,\ 1 \le j \le r. \tag{9}$$

Step 3. Let $q_l = -\sum_{j=1}^{r} e_j^n + ike_l^n$ for $r+1 \le l \le n$ and $k \ne 0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $p + tq_l = (1-t)\sum_{j=1}^{r} e_j^n + ikte_l^n$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. $||p + tq_l||_\infty < 1 \Leftrightarrow |1 - t| < 1$ and $|ikt|^2 < 1 \Leftrightarrow 0 < t < \min\{\frac{1}{k^2}, 2\}$. Therefore, given a $k \ne 0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist $t \to 0^+$ such that $p + tq \in D^n \cap V$. Similarly, take the Taylor expansion of $f(p + tq_l)$ at $t = 0$, we have

$$f(p + tq_l) = w_0 + J_f(z_0)q_l t + O(t^{1+\alpha}).$$

By Lemma 1.8,

$$||f\left(p+tq_l\right)||^2 = ||w_0 + J_f(z_0)q_l t + O(t^{1+\alpha})||^2 \leq ||p+tq_l||_\infty^2,$$

i.e.,

$$1 + 2\mathrm{Re}\left(\overline{w_0}^T J_f(z_0)(-\sum_{j=1}^{r} e_j^n + ike_l^n)t\right) + O(t^{1+\alpha}) \leq 1 - 2t + O(t^2).$$

Substitute $w_0 = e_1^N$ and let $t \to 0^+$, we have

$$\mathrm{Re}\left(\overline{e_1^N}^T J_f(z_0)(-\sum_{j=1}^{r} e_j^n + ike_l^n)\right) \leq -1,$$

i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Re}\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{r}\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j} + ik\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_l}\right) \leq -1.$$

From the above inequality as well as inequality (8), one has

$$k\mathrm{Im}\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_l} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{r}\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j} - 1.$$

Since the right side of above inequality is a nonnegative scalar, with the similar argument to Step 1, we have

$$\mathrm{Im}\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_l} = 0,\ r+1 \leq l \leq n.$$

Meanwhile, if we assume $p = z_0$, $q = -\sum_{j=1}^{r} e_j^n + ke_l^n$ for $r+1 \leq l \leq n$ and any $k \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$. It is easy to find

$$\mathrm{Re}\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_l} = 0,\ r+1 \leq l \leq n$$

as well. Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_l} = 0,\ r+1 \leq l \leq n. \tag{10}$$

As a result of (7), (9) and (10), we have

$$\overline{J_f(z_0)}^T w_0 = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_r, 0, ..., 0) z_0 \tag{11}$$

for $w_0 = e_1^N,\ z_0 = \sum_{j=1}^r e_j^n$ and $\lambda_j = \frac{\partial f_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j} \geq 0$ with $\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Step 4. Now let $z_0 \in E_r$ be any given point at ∂D^n with the first r components in the boundary of D, i.e., z_0 is not necessary $\sum_{j=1}^r e_j^n$. Then there exists a special kind of diagonal unitary matrix U_{z_0} such that $U_{z_0}(z_0) = \sum_{j=1}^r e_j^n$. Assume $f(0) = 0,\ f(z_0) = w_0$ and w_0 is not necessary e_1^N at ∂B^N. Similarly, there is a U_{w_0} such that $U_{w_0}(w_0) = e_1^N$. Denote

$$g(z) = U_{w_0} \circ f \circ \overline{U_{z_0}}^T,$$

then $g(0) = 0,\ g(\sum_{j=1}^r e_j^n) = e_1^N$. Moreover,

$$J_g(z) = U_{w_0} J_f(\overline{U_{z_0}}^T z) \overline{U_{z_0}}^T. \tag{12}$$

From Steps 2 and 3, we have

$$\overline{J_g(\sum_{j=1}^r e_j^n)}^T\ e_1^N = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_r, 0, ..., 0) \sum_{j=1}^r e_j^n$$

where $\lambda_j = \frac{\partial g_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j} \geq 0$ with $\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$, which equals to

$$\overline{U_{w_0} J_f(\overline{U_{z_0}}^T \sum_{j=1}^r e_j^n) \overline{U_{z_0}}^T}^T\ e_1^N = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_r, 0, ..., 0) \sum_{j=1}^r e_j^n,$$

i.e.,

$$U_{z_0} \overline{J_f(z_0)}^T \overline{U_{w_0}}^T e_1^N = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_r, 0, ..., 0) \sum_{j=1}^r e_j^n.$$

Multiplying $\overline{U_{z_0}}^T$ at both sides of the above equation gives

$$\overline{U_{z_0}}^T U_{z_0} \overline{J_f(z_0)}^T \overline{U_{w_0}}^T e_1^N = \overline{U_{z_0}}^T \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_r, 0, ..., 0) \sum_{j=1}^r e_j^n.$$

Since $\overline{U_{z_0}}^T$ is also a diagonal matrix, we have

$$\overline{U_{z_0}}^T \text{diag}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_r, 0, ..., 0) = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_r, 0, ..., 0)\overline{U_{z_0}}^T,$$

and therefore,

$$\overline{J_f(z_0)}^T w_0 = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_r, 0, ..., 0)\overline{U_{z_0}}^T \sum_{j=1}^{r} e_j^n = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_r, 0, ..., 0) z_0, \tag{13}$$

where $\lambda_j = \frac{\partial g_1(z_0)}{\partial z_j} \geq 0$ with $\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Step 5. Let $f(z_0) = w_0$ with $z_0 \in \partial D^n,\ w_0 \in \partial B^N$. If $f(0) = a \neq 0$, then we use the automorphism of B^N to get the result. Assume $\phi_a(w)$ is an automorphism of B^N such that $\phi_a(a) = 0$. Then $\phi_a(w_0) \in \partial B^N$ as well. With similar analysis to Step 3, there exists a U_{ϕ_a} such that $U_{\phi_a}(\phi_a(w_0)) = w_0$. Let

$$h = U_{\phi_a} \circ \phi_a \circ f,$$

then $h(0) = 0,\ h(z_0) = w_0$. As a result from Step 4, there is a sequence of real numbers $\gamma_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^r \gamma_j \geq 1$ such that

$$\overline{J_h(z_0)}^T w_0 = \text{diag}(\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_r, 0, ..., 0) z_0.$$

According to the expression of h, it is obtained that

$$\overline{J_h(z_0)}^T w_0 = \overline{U_{\phi_a} J_{\phi_a}(w_0) J_f(z_0)}^T w_0 = \overline{J_f(z_0)}^T \overline{J_{\phi_a}(w_0)}^T \overline{U_{\phi_a}}^T w_0. \tag{14}$$

Since $U_{\phi_a}(\phi_a(w_0)) = w_0$, then $\overline{U_{\phi_a}}^T w_0 = \phi_a(w_0)$. From the expression of the automorphism ϕ_a given by [19], we have the following equality.

$$\overline{J_{\phi_a}(w_0)}^T \overline{U_{\phi_a}}^T w_0 = \overline{J_{\phi_a}(w_0)}^T \phi_a(w_0) = \frac{1 - ||a||^2}{|1 - \bar{a}^T w_0|^2} w_0.$$

Therefore, combining with (14) we get

$$\overline{J_f(z_0)}^T \frac{1 - ||a||^2}{|1 - \bar{a}^T w_0|^2} w_0 = \text{diag}(\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_r, 0, ..., 0) z_0.$$

Consequently,

$$\overline{J_f(z_0)}^T w_0 = \lambda \text{diag}(\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_r, 0, ..., 0) z_0, \tag{15}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{|1 - \bar{a}^T w_0|^2}{1 - ||a||^2} > 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^r \gamma_j \geq 1$ and $a = f(0)$.

□

Acknowledgments

The work was finished while the first author visited the third author at Department of Mathematical Sciences, Indiana University-Purdue University Fort Wayne. The first author would appreciate the comfortable research environment and all the support provided by the institution in academic year 2014. The work was supported by China Scholarship Council (CSC). It was also supported by the NNSF of China under Grants 11101373, and 11171255.

References

[1] S. Ruscheweyh, Two remarks on bounded analytic functions, Serdica Math. J 11 (2) (1985) 200–2002.

[2] S. Dai, Y. Pan, Note on Schwarz-Pick estimates for bounded and positive real part analytic functions, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society (2008) 635–640.

[3] W. Rudin, Function theory in polydiscs, no. 41, WA Benjamin New York, 1969.

[4] G. Knese, A schwarz lemma on the polydisk, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 135 (9) (2007) 2759–2768.

[5] Z. H. Chen, Y. Liu, Schwarz-Pick estimates for bounded holomorphic functions in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series 26 (5) (2010) 901–908.

[6] S. Dai, H. Chen, Y. Pan, The high order Schwarz-Pick lemma on complex hilbert balls, Science China Mathematics 53 (10) (2010) 2649–2656.

[7] S. Dai, H. Chen, Y. Pan, The Schwarz-Pick lemma of high order in several variables, The Michigan Mathematical Journal 59 (3) (2010) 517–533.

[8] Y. Liu, Z. Chen, Schwarz-Pick estimates for holomorphic mappings from the polydisk to the unit ball, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 376 (1) (2011) 123–128.

[9] J. B. Garnett, Bounded analytic functions, Vol. 96, Academic Press, 1981.

[10] S. G. Krantz, The Schwarz lemma at the boundary, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations 56 (5) (2011) 455–468.

[11] T. Liu, J. Wang, X. Tang, Schwarz lemma at the boundary of the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and its applications, Journal of Geometric Analysis (2014) DOI 10.1007/s12220–014–9497–y.

[12] D. M. Burns, S. G. Krantz, Rigidity of holomorphic mappings and a new Schwarz lemma at the boundary, Journal of the American Mathematical Society 7 (3) (1994) 661–676.

[13] L. Baracco, D. Zaitsev, G. Zampieri, A Burns-Krantz type theorem for domains with corners, Mathematische Annalen 336 (3) (2006) 491–504.

[14] X. Huang, A boundary rigidity problem for holomorphic mappings on some weakly pseudoconvex domains, Canadian Journal of Mathematics 47 (2) (1995) 405–420.

[15] H. Alexander, Holomorphic mappings from the ball and polydisc, Mathematische Annalen 209 (3) (1974) 249–256.

[16] H. Alexander, Extremal holomorphic imbeddings between the ball and polydisc, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 68 (2) (1978) 200–202.

[17] M. Stessin, K. Zhu, Composition operators induced by symbols defined on a polydisk, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 319 (2) (2006) 815–829.

[18] M. Jarnicki, P. Pflug, Invariant distances and metrics in complex analysis, Vol. 9, Walter de Gruyter, 1993.

[19] W. Rudin, Function theory in the unit ball of C^n, Vol. 241, Springer, 2009.

[20] S. Kobayashi, Intrinsic metrics on complex manifolds, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 73 (3) (1967) 347–349.